Dow AgroSciences Vertriebsgesellschaft m.b.H. v. Medkraft LLC, Commercial Court of the Oblast of Sumy, 8 October 2012

Author Yaroslav Petrov Yaroslav Petrov, Asters Jurisdiction Ukraine Ukrainian commercial court declined enforcement of an arbitration agreement due to the reference to non-existing arbitration rules. Court Commercial Court of the Oblast of Sumy On January 15, 2010, Dow AgroSciences (claimant) entered into the Contract No. 15/01/10-A3X with Agrozaxyst-Sxid (respondent) Arbitrators/Judges for the delivery of plant protection products. The contract price was USD 232,320. The claimant fulfilled its contractual obligations and executed delivery in March - April 2010, after which invoices were Levchenko, Judge issued. Case date 8 October 2012 On August 2, 2011, Dow AgroSciences filed a claim against Medkraft LLC (a successor of Agrozaxyst-Sxid) before an Ukrainian commercial court. As of the date of the submission of the claim USD Case number 138,868.49 remained unpaid by the respondent. The contract provided for penalties in the case of late payment, and the claimant 5021/1294/12 was seeking USD 11,109.48 in penalties. The total outstanding sum amounted to USD 149,977.97. **Parties** Claimant, Dow AgroSciences The contract included a pathological arbitration clause. It states that Vertriebsgesellschaft m.b.H. all disputes shall be resolved "... in accordance with the Provisions (Austria) on Arbitration of the Paris International Chamber of Commerce and Defendant, Medkraft LLC Industry". (Ukraine) Key words The court concluded that the arbitration agreement did not make arbitration agreement, reference to an arbitration institution empowered to administer enforcement dispute resolution. Moreover, it was not possible to identify what was pathological arbitration clause meant by "Provisions on Arbitration of the Paris International • Chamber of Commerce and Industry". According to the legal opinion of the Paris office of DLA Piper UK LLP from 26 July 2012, there Applicable legislation was no arbitration institution with such name. Pursuant to Art. 8 of the Law of Ukraine "On International Commercial Arbitration", if the CISG, Ukrainian national law arbitration clause cannot be enforced, the court shall entertain the action. The court decided that the claimant had resorted rightfully to **Publication Source** the Ukrainian commercial court.

http://reyestr.court.gov.ua/Revie w/26370001

Yaroslav Petrov, Dow
 AgroSciences
 Vertriebsgesellschaft m.b.H.
 v. Medkraft LLC, Commercial
 Court of the Oblast of Sumy,
 8 October 2012, A contribution
 by the ITA Board of Reporters,
 Kluwer Law International

Original source:

Kluwer Arbitration
© 2014 Kluwer Law International BV (All rights reserved).
http://www.kluwerarbitration.com

The summary of the case file and full text of summarized court decision are available on: http://www.kluwerarbitration.com/CommonUI/document.aspx?id=KLI-KA-1315753